IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

TERRANCE WARD

6074 Township Road 174 Kansas, Ohio 44871

Plaintiff, * COMPLAINT; JURY DEMAND ENDORSED HEREON

Case No.

Judge

v.

WHIRLPOOL CORPORATION

4901 N. Main Street Findlay, Ohio 45840`

Francis J. Landry (0006072)

- WASSERMAN, BRYAN, LANDRY & HONOLD, LLP
- * 1090 W. South Boundary St

Defendant. Suite 500

- * Perrysburg, Ohio 43551 Telephone: (419) 243-1239
- * Facsimile: (419) 243-2719 Email: FLandry308@aol.com
- * Attorney for Plaintiff
 Terrance Ward

*

* * * * * * * *

JURISDICTION

1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1331 known as the Court's federal question jurisdiction. This is a civil action brought pursuant to the Civil Rights Act of 1866, 1871 as amended seeking damages and injunctive relief for racial discrimination in employment.

PARTIES

2. Plaintiff, Terrance Ward("Plaintiff"), is a resident of the Village of Kansas, County of Seneca, State of Ohio, who was employed by Defendant at its Findlay, Ohio plant for approximately four years until his termination on January 21, 2021.

3. Defendant, Whirlpool Corporation ("Defendant"), is a corporation duly organized under Delaware law with a place of business in the City of Findlay, County of Hancock, State of Ohio.

NATURE OF THE CASE

4. Plaintiff brings this action for injunctive relief and money damages for racial harassment and discrimination. Defendant terminated him from employment based on racial discrimination.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

- 5. Plaintiff was an employee who most recently before his termination, was employed as a Backup Area Coordinator which was a line leader position. He had, during his tenure of employment also worked as an assembler. He was promoted after approximately two years of employment with Defendant. Plaintiff is an African-American individual.
- 6. During his employment with Defendant, Plaintiff was exceeding Defendant's legitimate employer expectations.
- 7. Toward the end of his tenure of employment, in the latter part of 2020, a new manager refused to allow him to perform his backup job. Plaintiff expressed interest in talking to the Plant Manager. Once he expressed interest, he was then falsely accused of having safety issues on the job.
- 8. In early 2021, Plaintiff was assaulted and subjected to a series of threats by a co-worker and he was improperly placed by Defendant on Administrative Leave. Although he was assured that he would be back to work in a few days, he was terminated on January 21, 2021. A member of management asked Plaintiff why he liked to call the Ohio Civil Rights Commission.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF 42 USC 1981, Racial Discrimination

- 9. Plaintiff incorporates each and every allegation contained in paragraphs one (1) through eight (8) of this Complaint, supra, by reference in its entirety as if fully restated herein.
- 10. Plaintiff was an employee who most recently before his termination, was employed as a Backup Area Coordinator which was a line leader position. He had, during his tenure of employment also worked as an assembler. He was promoted after approximately two years of employment with Defendant. Plaintiff is an African-American individual.
- 11. During his employment with Defendant, Plaintiff was exceeding Defendant's legitimate employer expectations.
- 12. Toward the end of his tenure of employment, in the latter part of 2020, a new manager refused to allow him to perform his backup job. Plaintiff expressed interest in talking to the Plant Manager.

 Once he expressed interest, he was then falsely accused of having safety issues on the job.
- 13. In early 2021, Plaintiff was assaulted and subjected to a series of threats by a co-worker and he was improperly placed by Defendant on Administrative Leave. Although he was assured that he would be back to work in a few days, he was terminated on January 21, 2021. A member of management asked Plaintiff why he liked to call the Ohio Civil Rights Commission.
- 14. Plaintiff states that similarly situated Caucasian employees were more favorably treated. They were not terminated. Caucasian employees were not terminated from their positions, and were subjected to more favorable terms and conditions of employment as indicated previously. In addition, subsequent to his termination, upon information and belief, Defendant replaced Plaintiff with a Caucasian employee. In subjecting Plaintiff to different terms and conditions of employment and in terminating Plaintiff, Defendant has intentionally discriminated against him on the basis of his race in violation of 42 U.S.C. Section 1981.

Case: 3:21-cv-01105-JGC Doc #: 1 Filed: 05/30/21 4 of 4. PageID #: 4

15. As a proximate result of the actions of Defendants as complained of herein, Plaintiff has

suffered the loss of his job position, back wages, seniority, fringe benefits and pension benefits,

diminished earning capacity, and great mental, and emotional stress, anxiety, humiliation and

embarrassment all to his damage. Plaintiff has also been forced to expend litigation expenses and

attorney's fees.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for an order reinstating him to his position with Defendant with

full back pay, seniority and benefits, or in the alternative, for an award of front pay in lieu of

reinstatement. Plaintiff further demands a judgment against Defendant for compensatory and

punitive damages for emotional distress, anxiety, humiliation and embarrassment plus his costs,

interest and reasonable attorney fees. Plaintiff also seeks prejudgment and post judgment interest.

Plaintiff further prays for whatever other legal or equitable relief he may appear to be entitled to.

Respectfully submitted,

WASSERMAN, BRYAN, LANDRY & HONOLD, LLP

S/Francis J. Landry Francis J. Landry, Attorney for

Plaintiff, Terrance Ward

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a jury trial as to all issues so triable in the within cause.

/S/Francis J. Landry

Francis J. Landry